



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,820	10/01/2003	Aristotle Nicholas Balogh	12835/102104	8779
23838	7590	09/20/2007		
KENYON & KENYON LLP 1500 K STREET N.W. SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005				
			EXAMINER RAYYAN, SUSAN F	
			ART UNIT 2167	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 09/20/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/674,820

Applicant(s)

BALOGH, ARISTOTLE NICHOLAS

Examiner

Susan F. Rayyan

Art Unit

2167

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 June 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4-12,15-18 and 21-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4-12,15-18 and 21-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 2-3, 13-14, 19-20 are canceled.
2. Claims 1, 4-12, 15-18, 20-29 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,4-6, 11, 15,18,21-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,636,854 issued to Rabindranath Dutta et al ("Dutta") and US Patent 6,714,539 issued to Daniel Charles Sbisa ("Sbisa").

As per claim 1 Dutta teaches:

extracting a plurality of queries from a plurality of query messages received from a plurality of users over the network (column 7, lines 40-48, as user enters search string);

creating a first request message including the plurality of queries, a first sequence number, and state information describing each query_equal to the current sequence number and a first message count equal to the number of queries (column 8, lines 59-62, as generating a query message containing the

Art Unit: 2167

query and message header);

sending the request message to a search engine (column 8, lines 40-48, as

search request sent to search engine);

receiving a response message from the search engine (column 7, 50-52, as

results sent to client), the response message including a plurality of replies, each

reply generated in response to a query, a second sequence number, and state

information describing each query a second message count, a third sequence

number and a third message count (column 8, lines 59-62, as generating a query

message containing the query and message header).

Dutta does not explicitly teach creating a plurality of reply messages from the plurality of replies and sending the plurality of reply messages to the plurality

of users over the network. Sbisa does teach creating a plurality of reply

messages from the plurality of replies and sending the plurality of reply

messages to the plurality of users over the network (column 2, lines 25-30 , as

receiving query messages from a plurality of devices and processing the queries

and return responses to the devices) to receive and process multiple queries. It

would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to modify Dutta with creating a plurality of reply messages from the

plurality of replies and sending the plurality of reply messages to the plurality of

users over the network to receive and process multiple queries as described by

Sbisa (column 2, lines 18-22

Art Unit: 2167

As per claim 4 same as claim arguments above and Sbisa teaches:

determining message latency associated with the first sequence number(column 4, lines 40-41, as timestamp).

As per claim 5 same as claim arguments above and Sbisa teaches:

wherein said determining a message latency includes: updating a request timestamp based on the request message , updating a response timestamp based on the response message , comparing the request timestamp and the response timestamp (column 7, lines 40-41, timestamp).

As per claim 6 same as claim arguments above and Sbisa teaches:

receiving an additional response message from the search engine, the additional response message including an additional plurality of replies, and updating the response timestamp based on the additional response message(column 7, lines 40-41, timestamp).

As per claim 28 same as claim arguments above and Sbisa teaches:

wherein the response message includes replies generated in response to the first sequence number and a third sequence number, the third sequence number identifying a subsequent request message created after the first request message (column 7, lines 40-42, as message header).

As per claim 29 same as claim arguments above and Sbisa teaches:

wherein sending the plurality of reply messages to the plurality of users comprises identifying a user associated with each query from which each reply message was generated using the state information(column 7, lines 40-42, as message header, originator).

Art Unit: 2167

Claims 11,15,18,21-27 are rejected based on the same rationale as claims 1, 4-10.

Claims 7-10, 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,636,854 issued to Rabindranath Dutta et al (“Dutta”) and US Patent 6,714,539 issued to Daniel Charles Sbisa (“Sbisa”) in view of US Patent Publication Number 2003/0138091 issued to William Meek et al (“Meek”) and further in view of US Patent Application Publication Number 2002/0040414 issued to Kaitaro Uehara (“Uehara”)

As per claim 7 same as claim arguments above and Dutta and Sbisa do not explicitly teach updating a query count based on the request message, updating a reply count based on the response message and comparing the query count and the reply count. Uehara does teach reply count (paragraph 120, reply count) .It would have bee obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dutta and Sbisa with a reply count to improve monitoring. Dutta and Sbisa in view of. Uehara do not explicitly teach query count, Meek does teach query count (paragraph 94, query count). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dutta and Sbisa in view of Uehara with a query count to track the queries.

As per claim 8 same as claim arguments above and Uehara teaches:
receiving an additional response message from the search engine, the additional response message including an additional plurality of replies, and updating the

Art Unit: 2167

reply count based on the additional response message(paragraph 120, reply count).

As per claim 9 same as claim arguments above and Uehara teaches:

updating a response count based on the response message and comparing the response count to a predetermined response count (paragraph 120, reply count)

As per claim 10 same as claim arguments above and Uehara teaches:

receiving an additional response message from the search engine, the additional response message including an additional plurality of replies, and updating a response count based on the additional response message (paragraph 120, reply count)

Claims 16-17 are rejected based on the same rationale as claims 7-10 above.

Contact Information

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan F. Rayyan whose telephone number is 571-272-1675. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30-4:00.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on 571-272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2167

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SK

Susan Rayyan
9/17/2007


JOHN COTTINGHAM
PATENT EXAMINER
EBC CENTER 2100